

ORIGINAL

D&F
C/M

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x
VINCENT MASINO, ROBERT CHEVERIE,
JOHN PETERS, ANTHONY FASULO,
ALBERT ALIMENA, and DOMINICK
AGOSTINO as Trustees and Fiduciaries of
the Pavers and Road Builders District
Council Welfare, Pension, Annuity and
Apprenticeship, Skill Improvement and
Training Funds,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

GREEN GOLD DEVELOPMENT CORP.,

Defendant.
-----x

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Case No. 07-CV-2676 (FB) (MDG)

Appearances:

For the Plaintiff:

CHARLES R. VIRGINIA, ESQ.

Barnes, Iaccarino, Virginia, Ambinder &
Shepherd, PLLC

Trinity Centre

111 Broadway, 14th Floor - Suite 1403

New York, New York 10006

BLOCK, Senior District Judge:

On September 20, 2007, plaintiffs (the "Trustees") filed an amended complaint seeking recovery of unpaid fringe benefit contributions, interest for late contribution payments, liquidated damages, attorneys fees, costs and interest. As defendant, after being duly served, failed to respond to the complaint or otherwise defend against the action, *see* Docket Entry #11 (Clerk's Entry of Default), the Trustees now move for entry of a default judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(b).

A defendant's default is an admission of all well-pleaded allegations in the complaint except those relating to damages. *See Greyhound Exhibitgroup, Inc. v. E.L.U.L. Realty Corp.*, 973 F.2d 155, 158 (2d Cir. 1992) ("While a party's default is deemed to constitute a concession of all well pleaded allegations of liability, it is not considered an admission of damages."). A district court must nevertheless determine whether the allegations state a claim upon which relief may be granted, *see Au Bon Pain Corp. v. Artect, Inc.*, 653 F.2d 61, 65 (2d Cir. 1981) ("[A district court] need not agree that the alleged facts constitute a valid cause of action."); if they do, damages "must be established by the plaintiff in an evidentiary proceeding in which the defendant has the opportunity to contest the amount." *Greyhound Exhibitgroup*, 973 F.2d at 158.

The Trustees allege that defendant was a party to a collective bargaining agreement ("CBA") pursuant to which defendant was responsible for fringe benefit contribution payments, and that defendant "employed individuals within the . . . jurisdiction of the Union, but failed to make payment of [f]ringe [b]enefits . . . and interest for previous late payments of contributions." Compl. ¶ 11.

These allegations – deemed admitted – are sufficient to establish a breach of the CBA and, by extension, a violation of ERISA. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1145 ("Every employer who is obligated to make contributions to a multiemployer plan under the terms of the plan or under the terms of a collectively bargained agreement shall, to the extent not inconsistent with law, make such contributions in accordance with the terms and conditions of such plan or such agreement."). Thus, plaintiffs' motion for entry of a default judgment is granted.

Having established a violation of § 1145, the Trustees are entitled to remedies under § 1132(g)(2), as well as any additional damages stemming from defendants' breach of the CBA; the matter is referred to the assigned magistrate judge for a report and recommendation on the relief to be awarded.

SO ORDERED.

/signed/

FREDERIC BLOCK
Senior United States District Judge

Brooklyn, New York
May 7, 2008